Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/844,925	LEVENBERG, RICHARD MARK
	Examiner	Art Unit
	KRISTIE D. SHINGLES	2444
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Non-Final Rejection</u>		
(1) KRISTIE D. SHINGLES, Exmr.	(3)	
(2) Shawn Diedtrich, Atty.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 June 2009	Time: <u>4:45pm</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 103(a)		
Claims discussed: 1,9,18 and 22		
Prior art documents discussed: not specified		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/Kristie D. Shingles/ Examiner, Art Unit 2444 (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner notified Atty of the possible allowability of independent claim 18, however while updating the search Examiner discovered relevant art applicable to the claim 18 and the other pending claims. Therefore Exmr explained that no Notice of Allowability would be issued at this time in light of the newly discovered art; instead a Non-Final rejection applying the relevant art will be mailed to Applicant.